#### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 118149

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Michael Fass,

Plaintiff,

Docket No:

**COMPLAINT** 

vs.

Credit Protection Association L.P.,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

Michael Fass (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Credit Protection Association L.P. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (the "FDCPA").

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Michael Fass is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Queens County, New York.
  - 6. Plaintiff is a natural person allegedly obligated to pay a debt.
  - 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant Credit Protection Association L.P., is a Texas Limited Partnership with a principal place of business in Dallas County, Texas.
- 9. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect debts asserted to be owed to others.
- 10. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
  - 11. The principal purpose of Defendant's business is the collection of such debts.
  - 12. Defendant uses the mails in its debt collection business.
  - 13. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### THE FDCPA AS IT RELATES TO THE CLAIMS HEREIN

- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA upon finding that debt collection abuse by third party debt collectors was a widespread and serious national problem. *See* S. Rep. No. 95-382, at 2 (1977), *reprinted in* U.S.C.C.A.N. 1695, 1696; 15 U.S.C § 1692(a).
- 15. The purpose of the FDCPA is to protect consumers from deceptive or harassing actions taken by debt collectors, with the aim of limiting the suffering and anguish often inflicted by independent debt collectors. *Kropelnicki v. Siegel*, 290 F.3d 118, 127 (2d Cir. 2002); *Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.*, 74 F.3d 30, 34 (2d Cir. 1996).
- 16. To further these ends, "the FDCPA enlists the efforts of sophisticated consumers ... as 'private attorneys general' to aid their less sophisticated counterparts, who are unlikely themselves to bring suit under the Act, but who are assumed by the Act to benefit from the deterrent effect of civil actions brought by others." *Jacobson v. Healthcare Fin. Servs., Inc.*, 516 F.3d 85, 91 (2d Cir. 2008).

- 17. As such, the circumstances of the particular debtor in question have no bearing as to the question of whether there has been a violation of the FDCPA. *See Easterling v. Collecto, Inc.*, 692 F.3d 229, 234 (2d Cir. 2012). Indeed, it is not necessary for a plaintiff to show that he or she was confused by the communication received. *Jacobson*, 516 F.3d at 91. Likewise, the plaintiff consumer's actions or inaction in response to a communication from a debt collector are irrelevant. *Thomas v. Am. Serv. Fin. Corp.*, 966 F. Supp. 2d 82, 90 (E.D.N.Y. 2013).
- 18. Instead, "the test is how the least sophisticated consumer—one not having the astuteness of a 'Philadelphia lawyer' or even the sophistication of the average, everyday, common consumer—understands the notice he or she receives." *Russell*, 74 F.3d at 34.
- 19. If a debt collector's communication is "reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading" by the least sophisticated consumer, it violates the FDCPA. *DeSantis v. Computer Credit, Inc.*, 269 F.3d 159, 161 (2d Cir. 2001). Similarly, a communication violates the FDCPA if it is "open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate," or if the communication "would make the least sophisticated consumer uncertain as to her rights." *Clomon v. Jackson*, 988 F.2d 1314, 1319 (2d Cir. 1993); *Jacobson*, 516 F.3d at 90.
- 20. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute, and a debt collector's intent may only be considered as an affirmative defense. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(c); *Ellis v. Solomon & Solomon, P.C.*, 591 F.3d 130, 135 (2d Cir. 2010). Likewise, "the degree of a defendant's culpability may only be considered in computing damages." *Bentley v. Great Lakes Collection Bureau*, 6 F.3d 60, 63 (2d Cir. 1993). A single violation of the FDCPA to establish civil liability against the debt collector. *Id.*

### ALLEGATIONS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFF

- 21. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the alleged Debt").
- 22. The alleged Debt is an alleged obligation of Plaintiff to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
  - 23. The alleged Debt does not arise from any business enterprise of Plaintiff.
  - 24. The alleged Debt is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

- 25. At an exact time known only to Defendant, the alleged Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 26. At the time the alleged Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection, the alleged Debt was in default.
- 27. In its efforts to collect the alleged Debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by telephone.
  - 28. Defendant left a voicemail message ("the Message") for Plaintiff.
  - 29. The Message conveyed information regarding the alleged Debt.
  - 30. The Message is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
  - 31. The Message announced the call was from "Credit Protection Association."
- 32. The Message announced that any information collected would be used for the purpose of collecting the alleged Debt.
  - 33. Plaintiff is not a minor.
  - 34. Plaintiff's mother heard the Message.
  - 35. Plaintiff's mother was not aware of the alleged Debt.
- 36. Plaintiff never gave Defendant consent to communicate with any third party in connection with the collection of the Debt.
- 37. Plaintiff did not give Defendant express permission to leave messages on the telephone that the Defendant called.
- 38. The conduct described invaded the privacy protections afforded to Plaintiff through the FDCPA.
- 39. 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) provides that without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector.
  - 40. Defendant's actions as described herein violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
- 41. Plaintiff was caused significant embarrassment and humiliation as a result of the Defendant's conduct.
- 42. For all of the foregoing reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692c(b) and is liable to Plaintiff therefor.

## JURY DEMAND

43. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment be entered:

- a. Finding Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and
- b. Granting damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- c. Granting Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- d. Granting Plaintiff's costs; all together with
- e. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: October 22, 2019

## **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

By: \_/s/ Craig B. Sanders
Craig B. Sanders, Esquire
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, New York 11530
Tel: (516) 203-7600
Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Our File No.: 118149